## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

# Before the **FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION** Washington, D.C. 20554

_	RECEIVED
In the Matter of	)
	DEC 0 9 2002
Application of	)
Ed. G. C. daniel G. da	Factoral Communications to contains 191
EchoStar Communications Corporation (a	Charle and Charles and A
Nevada Corporation), General Motors, and	)
Hughes Electronics Corporation (Delaware	)
Corporations)	)
	)
(Transferors)	) CS Docket No. 01-348
	)
and	)
	)
EchoStar Communications Corporation (a	)
Delaware Corporation)	)
•	
(Transferee)	)
To: Chief Administrative Law Judge	

#### OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DELETE AND CLARIFY ISSUES

- 1. EchoStar Communications Corp. ("EchoStar"), General Motors Corp., and Hughes Electronics Corp. (collectively, the "Applicants"), have filed a motion pursuant to Section 1.229 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.229, to delete and clarify certain issues that were designated by the Commission in the Hearing Designation Order.' The Motion should be denied, without prejudice to the Applicants' right to seek relief at a later date, or should be deferred.
- 2. The Applicants recently filed with the Commission a petition to suspend this hearing <sup>2</sup> and an amended application for authority to transfer control. <sup>3</sup> The amended application is different from the original. The amended application seeks to address the concerns identified in the Commission's

Richard L. Sippel

Amendment to Consolidated Application for Authority to Transfer Control (Nov. 27, 2002).

Plot of London need CAC tion ADCIDE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Motion to Delete and Clarify Issues (Nov. 27, 2002) (the "Motion").

Petition for Suspension of Hearing (Nov. 27, 2002).

hearing designation order. If the Commission grants the amended application, the Motion will be moot because no hearing would he needed. If the Commission rejects the amended application and the hearing process goes forward, the Commission may well address the concerns raised by the Applicants in the Motion in its ruling on the amended application or, on the other hand, designate additional issues to he decided at the hearing. Moreover, after the Conimission has issued its decision, the parties will be better able to address whether there should be any additional modifications to the list of issues set forth in the Hearing Designation Order. Ruling on Applicants' Motion at this time is unnecessary and would he a waste of Commission resources, as well as those of the parties.

3. The Applicants arc also seeking to defer a ruling on the Motion while their petition to suspend the hearing is pending. <sup>4</sup> We agree that, if the Request is not denied, it should be deferred. The Bureau and other parties to this proceeding should not be required to address the merits of the Request now. If, after the Commission acts, the Applicants deem it necessary to file a renewed Request or seek action on the deferred Request, we will address the merits of their Motion then.

See Requestat 3-4., I7

# **CONCLUSION**

4. For the reasons set forth above, the Motion should be denied, without prejudice to the Applicants' right to seek relief at a later date, or should be deferred.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles W. Kelley

Chief, Investigations and Hearings Division

**Enforcement Bureau** 

Joel A. Rabinovitz Attorney-Advisor

Christopher L. Killion

Attorney-Advisor

December 9th, 2002

445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Room 3B-443 Washington, DC 20554 (202) 418-1420

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

l. Robin Peltzman of the Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, certify that I have. on this 9th day of December, 2002, served copies of the foregoing "Opposition to Motion to Delete and Clarify Issues" via mail or hand to the persons and entities set forth below.

Robin Peltzman, Program Analyst, Investigations and Hearings Division Enforcement Bureau

Administrative Law Judge Richard L. Sippel Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 1-C864 Washington, D.C. 20054 (by hand!

Gary M. Epstein, Esq.
.lames H. Barker, Esq.
Arthur N. Landerholm, Esq.
555 11th Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004

Counsel for General Motors Corporation and Hughes Electronics Corporation

Pantelis Michalopoulos, Esq.
Philip L. Malet, Esq.
Carlos M. Nalda, Esq.
Rhonda M. Bolton, Esq.
Steptoe and Johnson LLP
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
Counsel for EchoStar Communications Corporation

Christopher C. Cinnamon. Esq. Emily A. Denney, Esq. Nicole E. Paolini, Esq. 307 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1020 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Counsel for American Cable Association Steven T. Berman, Esq.
National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative
2121 Cooperative Way, Suite 500
Herndon, VA 20171

Stephen M. Ryan, Esq.
Stephen E. Coran, Esq.
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
1501 M Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005
Counsel for National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative

Jack Richards, Esq.
Kevin G. Rupy, Esq.
Keller and Heckman LLP
1001 G Street. N. W..
Suite 500 West
Washington, DC 20001
Counsel for National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative

Henry L. Baumann, Esq. Ben Ivins, Esq. Lawrence A. Walke, Esq. National Association of Broadcasters 1771 N Street, N.W. Washington. DC 20036

Debbie Goldman, Esq. George Kohl, Esq. Communications Workers of America 501 Third Street, N.W. Washington. DC 20001

William D. Silva, Esq. Law Offices of William D. Silva 5335 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20015-2003 Counsel for The Word Network

Alan C. Campbell, Esq.
Peter Tannenwald, Esq.
Kevin M. Walsh, Esq.
Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwald, P.C.
1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Suite 200 Washington, DC 20035-3101
Counsel for Family Stations, Inc. and North Pacific International Television, Inc.

Arthur V. Belendiuk, Esq.
Smithwick & Belendiuck, P.C.
5028 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W.
Suire 301
Washington, DC 20016
Counsel for Johnson Broadcasting of Dallas, Inc.

Ted S. Lodge, Esq. Scott A. Blank, Esq. Pegasus Communications Corp. 225 City Line Avenue. Suite 200 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Patrick J. Grant, Esq.
Robert M. Cooper, Esq.
Arnold and Porter
555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.
Washington. DC 20004
Counsel for Pegasus Communications Corp

Kemal Kawa, Esq.
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
1650 Tysons Boulevard
McLean, VA 22102
Counsel for Northpoint Technology, Ltd.

John R. Feore, Jr., Esq.
Kevin P. Latek, Esq.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC
I200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
Counsel for Paxson Communications Corporation

Mark A. Bałkin, Esq.
Joseph C. Chautin, III, Esq.
Hardy, Carey and Chautin, L.L.P.
110 Veterans Boulevard, Suite 300
Metairie, LA 70005
Counsel for Carolina Christian Television, Inc. and LeSea Broadcasting Corporation

Scott **R. Flick.** Esq.
Paul Cicelski, Esq.
Michael W. Richards, Esq.
Shaw Pittman LLP
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037
Counsel for Univision Communications, Inc.

Barry D. Wood, Esq.
Stewart W. Nolan, Jr., Esq.
Wood, Maines & Brown, Chartered
1827 Jefferson Place, N.W.
Washington. DC 20036
Counsel for Eagle III Broadcasting, LLC'
Counsel for Brunson Communications, Inc.